TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMISSION

Monday, August 20, 2012 150 Ski Hill Road

| 4:00 | Site Visit to Galena Ditch Trail (meet at Tiger Dredge Trailhead with mountain bikes) |
|------|---|
| 5:30 | Call to Order, Roll Call |
| 5:35 | Discussion/approval of Minutes – July 16, 2012 |
| 5:40 | Discussion/approval of Agenda |
| 5:45 | Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items) |
| | |

- 5:50 Staff Summary
 - Cucumber Gulch Preserve Update
 - Trail Projects Update
 - USFS Abandoned Mine Lands Update
- 5:55 Open Space
 - Trail Density Standards
 - Drop Off Rental Concessionaires
 - 2013 Budget and Pro Forma
- 7:00 Executive Session
- 8:00 Adjourn

For further information, please contact the Open Space and Trails Program at 970-547-3155 (Scott) or 970-453-3371 (Chris).

Memorandum

To: Breckenridge Open Space Advisory Commission

From: Open Space Staff Re: August 20, 2012

Staff Summary

Cucumber Gulch Preserve Update

Staff has continued working on the Upper Cucumber Gulch wetland restoration project, as discussed at the <u>July BOSAC meeting</u>. At its <u>August 7th meeting</u>, the Planning Commission recommended a variance to the Cucumber Gulch Preventative Management Area to allow excavation in the Preserve associated with this project. On <u>August 14th</u>, Town Council recommended staff proceed with the restoration project. The Army Corps of Engineers has also reviewed the proposal and should issue a decision on the project shortly. Staff has selected a contractor for the job and work could begin in the first week of September, if all of permits are granted.

The Shock Hill heavy metals remediation work is complete, erosion control measures are in place, and the grass seed on the site has begun to germinate. Staff recently evaluated the site with the landowner, a Tetra Tech engineer, and a representative from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and the Environment. Minor drainage improvements were recommended, but overall the project appears to have succeeded in isolating and covering the heavy metals in the area. Site monitoring will continue until the revegetation is well established.

Trail Projects Update

Following the opening of V3 Trail, staff focused work on existing trail maintenance, the extension of Betty's Trail, and the Galena Ditch Trail. Several volunteer efforts and a week of work by a crew from the Rocky Mountain Youth Corps resulted in a new singletrack extension to Betty's. This new trail section opened on August 14th.

Thanks to BOSAC commissioners Devon O'Neil and Jeffery Bergeron, former BOSAC commissioners Scott Yule and Dennis Kuhn, and many others who volunteered on August 4-5th to open the Galena Ditch Trail. The new trail provides a singletrack alternative to Tiger Road from Summit Gulch to Rock Island Road.

Work has begun on the Slalom Singletrack realignment and there are at least two more 2012 volunteer <u>trail work opportunities</u> to finish this ambitious reroute. All of these trail projects are leveraged by volunteer labor, and are jointly funded by the Town, Summit County Government, and a Colorado State Trails grant.

USFS Abandoned Mine Lands Update

Attached is a signed copy of the most recent letter from the Town to the USFS regarding the Abandoned Mine Land reclamation efforts in and around the Golden Horseshoe. Staff worked with representatives from Summit County Government, the Breckenridge Heritage Alliance, and the Summit Historical Society to craft the letter for Mayor Warner's signature. Staff will keep BOSAC posted on this ongoing cleanup effort.

Open Space and Trails

Trail Density Standards

As requested by BOSAC members, staff has researched trail density standards for the U.S. Forest Service and others.

Research indicated that trail density standards are generally applied to particularly sensitive protected areas, such as wetlands, critical wildlife habitat and federally-designated wilderness. Trail densities are typically higher closer to developed areas and tend to decrease farther into backcountry areas.

During the Golden Horseshoe planning effort, the originally inventoried road/trail density was determined to be 7.8 miles per square mile overall. The management recommendation was made to ensure that within the 5.41 Elk and Deer Winter Range prescription (the eastern half of the Golden Horseshoe), average *road* density be capped at 2 miles/sq mile and average *trail* density be limited to 4 miles/sq mile, which would result in an overall maximum trail density of 6 miles/sq mile in the 5.41 area. No trail density limitation was recommended for in the 7.1 Intermix prescription (the western half of the Golden Horseshoe).

Staff continues to close or reroute unsustainable routes in the Golden Horseshoe and elsewhere. Unfortunately, trail reroutes generally result in *higher* trail densities because lengthening a trail helps reduce steep grades and address fall-line alignments. Also, the <u>Town Trails Plan</u> identifies a primary goal of constructing multiple trail accesses from Town to outlying areas.

Although establishing trail densities for backcountry/national forest locations may have merits, it becomes more difficult to limit trail densities in frontcountry and in-town areas. Higher trail densities are typically encouraged in these areas to provide better trail access between Town and the backcountry. Staff believes that although trail density provides one indication of relative trail impact to a given area, it is more practical to design sustainable, well-draining trails that avoid sensitive ecological areas, particularly in frontcountry and in-town areas. It is also advisable to continue closing poorly aligned trails or trails located in sensitive areas.

Staff requests BOSAC answer the following questions:

- 1. Does BOSAC have additional questions or concerns regarding trail density on open space?
- 2. Does BOSAC agree with staff's direction regarding trail design and alignment?

2013 Budget and Pro Forma

Attached is a revised pro forma for BOSAC consideration. Staff seeks BOSAC feedback prior to the final 2013 budget meetings.

September Meeting Dates

As BOSAC members recall, the BOSAC retreat is planned for September 12th in Aspen. Topics currently scheduled to be discussed include:

- 1. Programs transitioning focus from open space acquisition to more open space management
- 2. Partnership models between the County and Town
- 3. Ski area partnerships
- 4. Budget challenges
- 5. Open space regulation enforcement
- 6. Public messaging
- 7. A comparison of ACES and Cucumber Gulch Preserve
- 8. Equestrian management

Staff will be out of town at a conference during the regularly scheduled September 17th BOSAC meeting. No other pressing policy discussion items are anticipated by staff. Therefore, staff requests BOSAC answer the following question:

- 1. Are there any additional topics that the BOSAC would like to see addressed at the Aspen retreat?
- 2. Does BOSAC want to count the all-day retreat on September 12th as September's monthly BOSAC meeting, thereby canceling the September 17th meeting?

Roll Call

Jeff Cospolich called the July 16, 2012 BOSAC meeting to order at 5:38 pm. Other BOSAC members present included Chris Tennal, Devon O'Neil, Erin Hunter, Ben Brewer, Jeff Carlson, and Jeffrey Bergeron. Staff members present were Peter Grosshuesch, Mark Truckey, Chris Kulick, and Scott Reid.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes were approved as presented.

Approval of Agenda

A Council Update was added to the agenda.

Public Comments

There were no public comments.

Staff Summary

Cucumber Gulch Preserve Updates

Mr. Reid stated there have been some complaints associated with the seasonal closure of the Preserve and that vandalism to closure signage had occurred on several occasions. Mr. Reid also stated that the mining waste remediation project associated with the Shock Hill Lodge site had recently begun and was progressing quickly.

Mr. Cospolich - How many complaints or removal of closure signs occurred? (Mr. Reid - Six or so.)

Mr. Bergeron - As a deterrent we could install signage stating cameras are in use in the area.

F&D Placer Parking

Mr. Reid stated staff is considering a parking expansion at the F&D placer trailhead at the request of the BOEC. Subsequent to this request staff has received some opposition to the proposal from adjacent neighbors.

Mr. Bergeron - We need to make it clear to the BOEC that trailhead parking is for trailhead users and not for BOEC operations.

Mr. Brewer - What are the neighbor's concerns associated with the addition of parking spaces. (Mr. Kulick - The neighbor's concerns are associated with increased traffic, loss of buffer to existing parking, visibility of new parking, and that an expansion does nothing to address the management of current parking facilities.)

Special Events Information on Website

Mr. Reid stated that staff had created a new website to inform trail users of dates and times areas of open space will be used for special events.

Mr. Cospolich - How will the public be directed to this new source of information. (Mr. Kulick - Mainly through word of mouth and through the Town's social media handles.)

V3 Trail Update and Video

Environmental Art Installation Update

Open Space and Trails

Cucumber Gulch Preserve PMA Variance- Wetland Restoration

Mr. Reid presented information on the scope of this project. Staff has been working cooperatively with the Breckenridge Ski Area (BSA), as directed by both BOSAC and Town Council, to address some of the issues outlined in EcoMetrics' (the Town's hydrology consultant) 2011 report and previously discussed by BOSAC.

EcoMetrics identified three primary issues in Upper Cucumber Gulch, including:

- 1. Altered sediment budget
- 2. Altered water source and distribution
- 3. Loss of beaver

These issues were highlighted by significant erosion and sedimentation in Upper Cucumber Gulch during the elevated 2011 runoff

To address these on-site concerns, the Town and the BSA have been working jointly with consultants to develop an action plan to implement quickly before impacts cascade into the lower, more pristine Cucumber Gulch wetlands.

In general, the consultants recommend:

- 1. Repairing the energy dissipater at the culvert outlet for Boreas Creek.
- 2. Restoring the dam faces for the Spreader Pond, Seahorse Pond, and other breached ponds in UCG.
- 3. Using a portion of the Spreader Pond as a sediment basin that could be accessed periodically to remove depositional material from Boreas Creek.
- 4. Reallocating a portion of the Boreas Creek flows to "rewater" the spreader pond and other former wetland areas to the north.
- 5. Dredging sediment in the Reset Pond, to gain water depth and fortify the dam face. (Some material would have to be deposited in the adjacent uplands to gain the appropriate pond depth.)
- 6. Ultimately, creating a site which would be welcoming to future beaver colonization in Upper Cucumber Gulch.

Excavation in Cucumber Gulch Preserve requires a variance to be granted by the Planning Commission and Town Council. In addition, this project would require approval from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency, both of which have already been notified of this proposal.

Although BOSAC does not have the authority to grant a variance to the PMA ordinance, staff seeks BOSAC feedback to provide to the Planning Commission and Town Council regarding the issue. The proposal is scheduled to be discussed at the Planning Commission and Town Council agendas on August 7th and August 14th, respectively.

Town and BSA staffs are also working with the consultants to develop a cost estimate for the proposed work. Currently, the BSA has committed to pay for the energy dissipater repair, which is tied to their current Army Corps of Engineers permit. Beyond that, the BSA has not committed to any funding, but has agreed to discuss further expenditures once a more defined plan and cost estimate are available. A cost estimate should be available in time for the August BOSAC meeting.

Mr. Cospolich - How much water will be re-allocated from the culvert to the north beaver ponds? (Mr. Reid - it will be field fit, but more than 50% I think).

Mr. Bergeron - There should be some discussion if money for this project comes out of general fund or open space funds. (Mr. Reid - The preliminary direction I have received from Council is it will come out of open space funds.)

Mr. Brewer - We need to design the system for the long-term and fix the root problem.

Mr. Grosshuesch - We are going after the root cause, sedimentation, and designing it so it maintainable in the future.

Ms. Hunter - Beyond this project, a tougher conversation is what happens next regarding the ski area taking care of their sediment on their own property.

Mr. Reid - Our goal is to give the ski resort the best opportunity to partner with us to correct the issues in the Preserve.

Staff asked three questions of BOSAC regarding this remediation proposal.

- 1. Does BOSAC support the Cucumber Gulch Preserve PMA project as proposed-specifically to allow excavation to facilitate wetland restoration in Upper Cucumber Gulch?
- 2. Does BOSAC have any specific conditions or requirements to be conveyed to the Planning Commission and Town Council regarding this proposal?
- 3. Does BOSAC generally support the expenditure of open space funds in cooperation with the Breckenridge Ski Area to accomplish the project as outlined, with details and cost estimates to be discussed in August?

Mr. Bergeron - We need to do this project no matter what, preferably before next winter.

Mr. Cospolich - I'm in agreement with Mr. Bergeron.

Mr. O'Neil - Question 1: Yes. Question 2: I hope the ski area is more responsive on this issue than they have been on the Peaks Connect Trail alignment. Question 3: Preferably minimize the of use open space funds to remedy the situation.

Ms. Hunter - I agree with everything Devon said on Questions 1 & 2. Question 3: I believe the use of open space funds for this project is appropriate.

Mr. Tennal - We need to get to work on this project quickly. Something needs to be addressed on the other side of the road. Yes on questions 1, 2 & 3.

Mr. Carlson - I agree with Devon on Questions 1 & 2. We have to do what it takes to protect the Preserve. Yes on questions 1, 2 & 3.

Mr. Brewer - Yes on questions 1, 2 & 3. Address the immediate concerns quickly. Maintaining hydrology in the Gulch will be a long-term maintenance issue. We need to pursue solutions to problems quickly. Expenditure of open space funds is appropriate for maintenance except when directly caused by someone else. I suggested we schedule a Council field trip to the Preserve so they can see the issues first hand prior to the August 14th Council meeting.

Council Update

Ben Brewer provided an update on the Breckenridge Grand Vacations proposal at Peak 8, the Harris Street building library proposal, and answered questions regarding the recent transit study.

Next Meeting

The next regularly scheduled meeting is on August 20, 2012 in the Administrative Conference Room at the Breckenridge Town Hall (150 Ski Hill Road).

Mr. O'Neil made a motion to adjourn the meeting, which was seconded by Mr. Carlson.

| The meeting was adjourned at 7:15 p.m. | |
|--|-----------------------|
| | |
| | Jeff Cospolich, Chair |



July 27, 2012

District Ranger
Dillon Ranger District – United States Forest Service
PO Box 620
Silverthorne, CO 80498

Attention: Paul Semmer

Re: Decision Memo on 2012 Dillon Ranger District Abandoned Mine Land Project

Dear Mr. Semmer:

Thank you very much for the comment period extension that you granted concerning the above-noted USFS project. Town of Breckenridge staff met with representatives from the Breckenridge Heritage Alliance, Summit County Open Space staff, and a Summit Historical Society board member to discuss the various historic structures mentioned in our initial response letter dated June 8, 2012. The purpose of our discussions was to formulate a strategy for future use of the various structures, should the USFS decide to not demolish them. This letter contains our recommendations and comments to supplement our June 8th letter.

Our comments are ordered in the approximate priority we have given each structure as follows:

1. Lincoln Townsite – We feel that this site has the greatest potential for future use as an interpretative site. It has a rich, significant, and well-known history, is close to Breckenridge, is easily accessible by road, and is in close proximity to an existing historical hiking and interpretative area developed by the Heritage Alliance, Town, and County. As in our June 8th letter, we ask that the five structures of historical significance, as identified in the Eric Twitty report of December 2010, be preserved and that all contemporary structures, debris, unsafe materials, and trash be removed.

We have asked the Heritage Alliance to consider developing – with USFS permission – a self-guided tour of the area complete with interpretative signage and informal pathways. The intent of this tour concept would be to supplement the heritage tourism opportunities that the Town and Heritage Alliance currently offer. Of course, this proposal assumes that the current USFS project would remove and/or isolate all unsafe materials, such as asbestos and animal waste from all remaining structures, including the sealing of all structures to prevent human entry. The Heritage Alliance has offered to manage periodic inspections to determine structure status, maintenance needs, and any illegal occupancy.

- 2. Good Day Placer We recommend that the historical log cabin and headframe on this site be preserved, that the unsafe open shaft on site be remediated, and that all contemporary structures, debris, and trash be removed via the USFS project. We recommend that the USFS clean out the cabin, ensure that no toxic materials such as asbestos or other unsafe conditions are present, and leave the cabin open for public use. The cabin and adjoining site are located very close to existing or planned Town/Summit County trails as well as a historical hiking and interpretative area and could be added to the Alliance historical program. An open cabin could be used as a day-use facility and perhaps as an overnight shelter. The Alliance has offered to manage periodic inspections to determine structure status, maintenance needs, and illegal occupancy monitoring.
- 3. Monte Cristo Gulch Cabin A We agree with the USFS plan to demolish this cabin considering the extensive presence of asbestos, the deteriorated condition of the cabin, and the cabin's proximity to the public road in the gulch.
- 4. Monte Cristo Gulch Cabin B We recommend that this small, single cabin be preserved and simply be cleaned out, ridded of any unsafe materials, and left open for public use for both summer hiking/camping use and winter backcountry shelter use.
- 5. Monte Cristo Cabin C We recommend that this cabin be preserved for use with an operating plan identical to that cited for Cabin B. We understand that any action on this cabin may be delayed due to discussions between the USFS and potential owners of the cabin.
- 6. Governor Mine The stage-stop building on site is, admittedly, a difficult structure for which to envision a future plan of use. The structure is remote from

other tour sites, probably would not be placed on any future historical bus tour for this reason, is probably too close to the main road to be used as a backcountry day-use/camping site, and, of course, has asbestos issues.

Nevertheless, the building is of historic importance due to its being probably one of the last stage stops in Colorado still standing. We have requested that the Heritage Alliance consider erecting interpretative signage and noting the existence and location of the site on its website and in its program literature to facilitate public visitation if desired. We therefore recommend that the structure be preserved, cleaned out internally, and sealed so as to prevent public access. We continue to recommend that the entire site be cleaned up and contemporary structures, debris, and trash be removed.

Once again, we appreciate your granting us an extension to further consider options related to the future use of the historic structures included in your remediation and demolition project. We look forward to further contact with you regarding the results of and decisions made on the structures noted in this letter.

John Wan Mayor

Cc:

Brian Lorch, Summit County Open Space Larissa O'Neil, Breckenridge Heritage Alliance

TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE FUND PRO FORMA

| | Audited | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---|
| EXPENDITURES | <u>2011</u> | <u>2012</u> | <u>2013</u> | <u>2014</u> | <u>2015</u> | <u>2016</u> | <u>2017</u> | <u>2018</u> | <u>2019</u> | <u>2020</u> | 2021 | <u>Comments</u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Budget line item 544213 % annual growth after 2013\$404,450 commited so far in |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 2012 (Last Chance/Hulse claims, UB San Dist. Quandary, Anaconda and Daisy, |
| Land Acquisitions | 489,822 | 404,450 | 620,000 | 638,600 | 657,758 | 677,491 | 697,815 | 718,750 | 740,312 | 762,522 | 785,397 | Juventa lode) |
| MBJ Acquisition | 1,440,126 | | | | | | | | | | | Total price \$1.65 million, County contributed 200k |
| Cuc Wedge/Claimjumper Acquisition | | 620,000 | | | | | | | | | | Open space fund contribution for entire Wedge parcel and 20% of Claimjumper parcel. County contributed 400k towards Cuc Wedge. |
| | | , | | | | | | | | | | 3k VCUP expenses (54422), and Wellington/Oro treatment plant costs (53400), |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | including part-time operator (25k in 59921 for 2012, 20k for 2013) and replacement |
| Welllington/Oro Treatment Plant | 166,799 | 183,000 | 178,000 | 183,340 | 188,840 | 194,505 | 200,341 | 206,351 | 212,541 | 218,918 | 225,485 | fund (11k annually in 53400) |
| Debt Service B&B | 297,653 | 297,710 | 297,626 | 302,401 | 301,893 | 301,244 | 300,454 | 299,523 | 298,988 | 299,974 | 299 974 | 55524, \$4.5mil bond @5% 20 yrs; based on principal and interest payments scheduled |
| Swan Mt Bike Path | 90,000 | 237,710 | 231,020 | 302,401 | 301,033 | 301,244 | 300,434 | 200,020 | 230,300 | 255,574 | 200,014 | 33324, \$\psi\$.31111 bolid \$\psi\$ 70 20 yrs, based on principal and interest payments seneduled |
| Swarr Wit Blice F duri | 30,000 | | | | | | | | | | | 51111-51138 (wages and benefits), 52214-53321 (printing and postage), 53372-53374 (training, travel, and BOSAC), 5800 (garage fund), 55512 liability insurance, 3% annual |
| Administration | 261,331 | 263,095 | 268,924 | 276,992 | 285,301 | 293,861 | 302,676 | 311,757 | 321,109 | 330,743 | 340 665 | growth, 53388-53389 (insurance deductibles) |
| Legal Services | 575 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,150 | 5,305 | 5,464 | 5,628 | 5,796 | 5,970 | 6,149 | | 53352, B&B Consent decree followup |
| 20ga: 00:11600 | 0.0 | 3,000 | 0,000 | 3,133 | 3,000 | 0,101 | 0,020 | 3,1 33 | 0,0.0 | 3,113 | 0,001 | 53355, 3% annual growth, Cucumber monitoring (63.6 k for EcoMetrics, 28.8 k for |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | wildlife monitoring, 7k for avian gondola (matched by 7k from BSR)), 65k for Town |
| Consultants | 121,575 | 156,486 | 80,000 | 82,400 | 84,872 | 87,418 | 90,041 | 92,742 | 95,524 | 98,390 | 101.342 | share of Cucumber Gulch restoration work |
| - Concantante | 121,010 | 100,100 | 33,000 | 02,100 | 0.,0.2 | 0.,0 | 33,311 | 02,1 12 | 00,02 : | 33,333 | .0.,0.= | onare of Gasarriasi Gararriasian work |
| Forest management | 109,304 | 130,000 | 130,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 53359 forest mgmt, weed control, GH forest mgmt/health planning, tree planting |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other contracted services | 4,750 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,300 | 10,609 | 10,927 | 11,255 | 11,593 | 11,941 | 12,299 | 12,668 | 53399 surveying and appraisals |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 52229, 52230, 52231, Town trails and landscape construction, GH trails, Friends of |
| Trails construction and maintenance | 134,910 | 157,500 | 187,500 | 193,125 | 198,919 | 204,886 | 211,033 | 217,364 | 223,885 | 230,601 | 237,519 | Breck, 3% annual growth |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landscaping (river corridor) | 123,742 | 0.007.044 | 4 === 050 | 4 707 000 | 4 000 407 | 4 050 700 | 1 00 1 0 10 | 4 000 075 | 4 005 074 | 0.004.505 | 0.004.004 | Blue River corridor by Block 11 |
| TOTAL EXP | 3,240,593 | 2,227,241 | 1,777,050 | 1,767,308 | 1,808,497 | 1,850,796 | 1,894,243 | 1,938,875 | 1,985,271 | 2,034,595 | 2,084,384 | |
| REVENUES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales Tax | 1,677,541 | 1,636,300 | 1,652,663 | 1,669,190 | 1,685,882 | 1,702,740 | 1,719,768 | 1,736,965 | 1,754,335 | 1,771,878 | 1,789,597 | Based on 1% annual growth |
| | | | , , | | , , | , , | , , | | , , | | , , | |
| Interest | 6,851 | 5,165 | 4,716 | 3,182 | 3,551 | 3,681 | 3,617 | 3,320 | 2,780 | 4,106 | 5,161 | |
| B&B Land Sales | | | | | | | | | 425,000 | | | Potential divestiture properties (Williams Placerrevenues split with County) |
| TDR Sales | 5,830 | 10,750 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 2040, 501, franc Otata 50 and 051, franc 00 Wildfins On and 101, state trails must 2040. |
| Grants | 72,377 | 108,259 | 65,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10.000 | 2012: 50k from State FS and 25k from SC Wildfire Council, 40k state trails grant, 2013: 40k state trails grant, 25k state forest mgmt grant |
| Summit County reimbursement | 74,062 | 84,500 | 82,000 | 84,460 | 86,994 | 89,604 | 92,292 | 95,060 | 97,912 | 10,000 | | Wellington/Oro treatment plant costs |
| Trails map sales | 10 | 9,000 | 7,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | Tromington oro troution plant obsta |
| Miscellaneous | 23,831 | 14,000 | 18,258 | 8,575 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | , | 49999 Rental income (dogsled rides) and 44240 W/O zinc sales |
| TOTAL REV | 1,860,502 | 1,867,974 | 1,829,637 | 1,788,407 | 1,813,426 | 1,833,025 | 1,852,676 | 1,872,346 | 2,317,027 | 1,913,834 | 1,935,633 | |
| | | - | - | | - | - | - | | - | | | |
| BEG. BALANCE | 2,031,303 | 651,212 | 291,945 | 344,532 | 365,631 | 370,560 | 352,789 | 311,223 | 244,693 | 576,450 | 455,688 | previous year's balance |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| END BALANCE | 651,212 | 291,945 | 344,532 | 365,631 | 370,560 | 352,789 | 311,223 | 244,693 | 576,450 | 455,688 | 306,937 | |