

TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE  
*OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMISSION*  
Monday, August 17, 2009  
ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE ROOM, BRECKENRIDGE TOWN HALL  
150 Ski Hill Road

4:00 Site visit to Preston Townsite  
Meet at Town Hall

5:30 Call to Order, Roll Call

5:35 Discussion/approval of Minutes – June 15, 2009

5:40 Discussion/approval of Agenda

5:45 Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items)

5:50 Staff Summary

- Golden Horseshoe Forest Health
- Gold Run Nordic Center
- VERP

6:15 Open Space and Trails

- Huts on Open Space
- Hidden Gems Wilderness Proposal
- Shock Hill Trail
- BOSAC Date and Meeting Location
- Open Space Budget/Pro Forma

8:00 Executive Session

8:30 Commissioner Issues

8:35 Adjourn

For further information, please contact the Open Space and Trails Program at 547.3110 (Heide) or 547.3155 (Scott).

---

**Memorandum**

To: Breckenridge Open Space Advisory Commission  
From: Heide Andersen, Open Space and Trails Planner III  
Mark Truckey, Asst. Director of Community Development  
Scott Reid, Open Space and Trails Planner II  
Re: August 17, 2009 meeting

---

**Staff Summary****Golden Horseshoe Forest Health**

The areas specified by Town and County staff have been flown for LIDAR data. Staff will be receiving the processed data soon and will be able to give the data to Eric Petterson at Rocky Mountain Ecological Services to begin writing a forest health and fire mitigation plan for the Golden Horseshoe area.

**Gold Run Nordic Center**

Town Council is investigating ways to cut costs throughout the entire organization. Council is considering contracting out the nordic operations at Gold Run. To that end, Recreation Department staff is working on a Request for Proposals that be sent out to prospective concessionaires in the near future. Town Council will wait to see the response to the RFP before they decide whether to contract out the service or keep it in-house.

**VERP**

The Cucumber Gulch Visitor Use Study was conducted in the summer of 2008. Data was collected from winter use from 2007 through 2009. There were three components to the Visitor Experience/Resource Protection (VERP) study, including the visitor survey, the quantifying of visitor use and evaluation of trail conditions.

The results of the visitor survey indicated that:

- The majority of users are middle-aged recreationists that are predominantly from Colorado with a high level of education.
- Most participate in hiking (over 8 days/year), mountain biking (over 6 days/year), and nordic skiing (over 4 days/year).
- The three main reasons that visitors come to Cucumber Gulch are that they hope to connect with the natural environment, they are looking to exercise and relax while recreating, and that they hope to have an opportunity to see wildlife.
- The visitors generally don't feel crowded.
- The overwhelming majority liked the experience of seeing and being in nature, while several stated that they liked the well maintained and easy to use trail system.

The results of the quantification of visitor use was as follows:

- Overall the use is low, with occasional spikes. The use increased throughout the summer, peaking in September. It was higher on weekends and between 11:00 am and 2:00 pm in general.
- The numbers collected by the infrared trail counters were consistent with those observed.
- Future studies should try to determine the direction of travel for more accurate estimates of visitor use.
- Currently visitor use is low, but the data provides a good baseline to determine changes over time.
- Although the use is relatively low, use spikes are seen on certain summer days. Short periods of intense use can result in natural resource damage.
- The information gathered can be used to establish monitoring sites and protocols for future data collection and analyses.
- In the winter, the average annual number of daily ticket sales and season pass holder sign-ins was 13,197 users.
- The average number of skiers per day was 90.
- The peaks tended to coincide with holidays, with the greatest peaks being during December of 2007 and February of 2008.

The assessment of trail conditions concluded that:

- Overall, the trail system is in good condition.
- Trails located in areas that are nordic trails in the winter are most vulnerable because larger groups of hikers will hike side by side, causing multiple parallel trails.
- The southern section of Gold Digger is on the fall line and needs drainage work.
- The southern section of Toad Alley is perpetually muddy and a new walkway is needed.
- There is poor drainage near the intersection of southern Gold Digger and Toad Alley.
- The most problems are on the Peaks Connect trail, including drainage issues, wet soils, erosion problems along the whole length of the trail, and the many flat sections where drainage is difficult to achieve.
- Other problems with the Peaks Connect trail are that the soil is organic and doesn't promote drainage, sections of the trail need to be rerouted, and there is still a need for further drains, retaining bars, and turnpikes. Maintenance on this section of trail should be a priority to the Town.
- On the northern section of Toad Alley, drainage and new tread material are needed. There are sections here where the exposed roots are causing the downhill edge of a trail to widen.
- There is one muddy section of the Shock Hill Trail because of poor drainage that may need a low-profile turnpike. Multiple trails in some areas need to be discouraged. The Town should continue its use of rocks and logs on secondary trails to encourage that the use stay on the maintained trail.

The ultimate question addressed was whether we can protect the resources of the Gulch while allowing use. This study suggests that the answer lies in the development of strong and clear management objectives that correspond with clear zoning and representative indicators and standards of quality, a strong management presence, adequate and well designed infrastructure, acknowledgement of public needs, knowledge about the ecological and biological values, and an organized monitoring system with the ability to acquire and store long term data.

Use in Cucumber Gulch seems to have increased significantly from last summer to this summer (2009) and this data will be invaluable in setting a baseline from which to evaluate changes in use and corresponding environmental impacts in the future. Our Town trail crew has included many of the recommendations from this study in their work plan and the continued monitoring is now a part of our annual conservation monitoring program. Staff has a hard copy of this report and the appendices for anyone that is interested in reading more about the study.

## **Open Space and Trails**

### **Huts on Open Space**

The topic of backcountry huts on joint Town/County open space is timely due to a preliminary proposal from Summit Huts to locate a hut in the Black Gulch/Weber Gulch area. The issue has been raised for BOSAC because the U.S. Forest Service has asked Summit Huts to first consider and pursue hut locations on non-federal land.

Based on the discussion at last month's joint OSAC/BOSAC meeting, staff requests that BOSAC consider and clarify its position on huts on open space.

#### *Discussion Items*

1. *Does BOSAC agree with the existing statement in the Town Open Space Plan that, "small structures such as...huts/cabins are appropriate on Town open space properties if the structures are intended to support non-motorized, trail-based recreation"?*
2. *Given that there are multiple existing historic cabins on joint Town/County open space property, what measures should be taken to manage existing cabins/huts? Should existing cabins be managed differently than new or proposed cabins?*

## **Hidden Gems Wilderness Proposal**

### *Hidden Gems Wilderness Campaign*

The Hidden Gems Wilderness Campaign is a project to designate major new wilderness additions on the White River National Forest and on proximal Bureau of Land Management lands. The proposal would create several new wilderness areas in addition to significantly enlarging existing wilderness parcels.

The Steering Committee of the White River Wilderness Coalition (the group responsible for developing the Hidden Gems Wilderness Campaign) is made up of representatives from the Colorado Environmental Coalition, Colorado Mountain Club, The Wilderness Society, and Wilderness Workshop.

The proposed wilderness areas that geographically fall within our Town of Breckenridge Open Space Plan include the Proposed Tenmile Wilderness Area and the Proposed Hoosier Ridge Wilderness Area. The Tenmile area is 13,583 acres (21.2 square miles) and the Hoosier Ridge area is 5,986 acres (9.4 square miles). A map with the boundaries of both of these areas will be provided at the meeting, but you can also visit [www.whiteriverwild.org/](http://www.whiteriverwild.org/) for more information.

#### *Proposed Tenmile Wilderness Area*

The Hidden Gems website describes the proposed Tenmile Wilderness Area as the following:

“The awesome alpine terrain of this proposed Wilderness Area has limited development and it retains a wild and natural character despite the many roads and mines around it. The incredible scenery and close proximity to Copper Mountain, Breckenridge, and Frisco make this a very popular recreational destination in the summer and winter. The famous Colorado Trail runs just to the north of the area. As seen from Copper Mountain, the backcountry skiing potential is impressive. Unlike many of the proposed Wilderness Areas in the Hidden Gems Campaign, this one receives little hunting traffic in the fall.

The forested portions of the unit support elk, deer, and bear during the summer. Bighorn sheep and mountain goats live along the Tenmile ridge. The unit is also prime habitat for the Canada lynx, a state-endangered and nationally-threatened species.”

“The northern boundary of this area was drawn to exclude the Wheeler Trail to accommodate the interests of the mountain biking community.”

The largest threat identified for this area is the development of patented mining claims that occur on the southeast and southwest borders of the unit. Such development could have significant environmental impacts, such as affecting the migration of wildlife.

#### *Proposed Hoosier Ridge Wilderness Area*

The location of the Hoosier Ridge area is described on the Hidden Gems website as the following:

“The proposed Hoosier Ridge Wilderness Area sits on the north side of the Continental Divide, between the upper Blue River and Pennsylvania Creek. Red Mountain (13,229 feet), a huge rounded arm of the divide, occupies the center of the unit. Most of the proposed Area consists of high peaks and supports extensive alpine meadows and rugged screefields. Engelmann spruce and subalpine fir trees are scattered in the high basins,

with lodgepole pines dominating the lower drainages. The elevation is 10,100 feet at the bottom of Pennsylvania Creek, and rises to 13,352 feet on the crest of Hoosier Ridge.”

This is how the qualities of this area are described on the website:

“This proposed Wilderness Area provides a roadless link between the White River and Pike-San Isabel National Forests, as well as a rugged, high corridor from the area where the Mosquito, Tenmile, and Gore Ranges converge to the Mount Evans Wilderness Area. This proposed area, ‘untrammeled by man,’ is surrounded by areas that were heavily mined in the past. Excellent hiking and camping may be had here.”

“The Hoosier Ridge Research Natural Area straddles the Continental Divide in this proposal area, and is considered a prime example of a native alpine ecosystem. Its vast alpine grasslands and subalpine forest provide habitat for ten rare plant species, as well as boreal toads, and also contains great habitat for very rare wolverine. This is also critical habitat for the Canada lynx, a species listed by the USFWS as Threatened nationwide and by the CDOW as Endangered within Colorado.”

It is also important to note that “the proposed Hoosier Ridge Wilderness Area is contiguous with a Roadless Area of the same name across the Continental Divide on the Pike-San Isabel National Forest. Together, they form a single roadless area of 11,157 acres (17.4 square miles).”

The main threats listed for this area are illegal incursions by motorized traffic into the roadless area from adjacent private lands, which could effectively devalue the habitat. Private inholdings also pose a threat.

#### *Town of Breckenridge Open Space and Trails Policy Direction*

According to the revised Breckenridge Open Space Plan (2007), the top priority in open space preservation is the protection of environmentally sensitive lands, which include riparian zones, alpine (mountain) zones, view corridors or backdrops, steep slopes, buffers to environmentally sensitive areas, unique landforms, and threatened or unique plant communities. The second priority for protection listed in the Open Space Plan is important wildlife habitat. These two proposed wilderness areas in the Upper Blue Basin clearly meet all of criteria for lands that would fall under either of these categories.

The second tier for land protection priorities in the Open Space Plan are recreational lands, including access points and trails. This is a more complicated issue when it is acknowledged that mountain biking is not allowed in federally designated wilderness areas. To further evaluate the impacts to recreational access and use supported by the Town of Breckenridge, it is important to consider the revised Town of Breckenridge Trails Plan was recently adopted by Town Council in 2009. This plan provides guidance for BOSAC on how to evaluate how these wilderness proposals affect the Town’s vision for trails in the future, as it is a targeted document that outlines specific existing and proposed trails that the Town would like to secure or create.

The following is a list of particular trails that are addressed in our Trails Plan that could be affected by the wilderness proposal:

- Blue River/Hoosier Pass Recpath. The Plan mentions that this is one of the final missing sections in the overall paved system. It is the connection from the southern end of Town through the Town of Blue River to Hoosier Pass and the boundary with Park County. The recommendation in the Plan is to complete a grade-separated Recpath from the junction of Boreas Pass Road and Highway 9 to Hoosier Pass. One corner of the proposed Hoosier Ridge area comes down to the highway, so it should perhaps be noted that enough room needs to be allowed for the future possibility of this bike path.
- Fredonia Gulch Trail/Trailhead. It is important to maintain summer and winter access to this historical road. The Plan calls for securing a trailhead easement, additional grading and improving the winter plowing for the trailhead. Currently the Fredonia Gulch Trail and Trailhead areas are “cherry-stemmed” (excluded) from the wilderness proposal.
- Bemrose Ski Circus. The Plan calls for improving the Summit County plowing efforts, or expanding, improving or relocating the current Bemrose parking areas. It recommends that the Bemrose trails be further improved to better accommodate summer use and to protect wetland resources. It is important to note that this loop is currently included within the wilderness proposal and with the current boundaries would exclude mountain bike use.
- Wheeler Trail resurrection. The Wheeler Trail is a historic herding route and is a National Recreational Trail connecting Copper Mountain with Hoosier Pass. Some of the southern end of this trail has been lost, due to private residential development and road development in the Quandary area. The recommendation in the Trails Plan is to reconnect the Wheeler Trail between McCullough Gulch and Hoosier Pass. It is unclear if the wilderness boundary as it has been proposed would allow for this connection. It would be important to work with the wilderness coalition to ensure that this future trails development be allowed to happen.
- Pennsylvania Gulch. The recommendation in the Trails Plan is for the Town to work with the Forest Service to post (with blue diamonds) and prune a parallel winter only non-motorized route along the Pennsylvania Gulch Road. This should not interfere with the wilderness proposal.
- Monte Cristo Trail Redesign. The recommendation in the Trails Plan is for the Town to work with the Forest Service to improve trail drainage and formalize a single tread alignment in this area. Since this trail is primarily for hikers, there is potentially no conflict with the wilderness proposal.
- Hunter Claims Road. This is a historic mining road which receives heavy local use. Summit County has received legal public access to the bottom portion of the road. The Plan calls for designating the upper portion a system non-motorized route on the national forest lands. Again, this road is primarily used by hikers, dog-walkers and skiers. These uses should not conflict with the wilderness boundaries as proposed.

### *Other Issues*

Although these will not be topics to be resolved by BOSAC, there may be some remaining issues that affect the ability of the Town to support the wilderness proposal as it now stands. These issues include the need for proactive wildfire mitigation and proactive and emergency fire response activities for watershed protection. The Town will be working with Colorado Springs, the U.S.G.S, Colorado State Forest Service, Summit County, and the White River National Forest to determine future needs along these lines and how these will relate to the proposed wilderness areas.

### *Discussion Items:*

- 1. Does BOSAC agree in concept with the idea of designated wilderness in the Upper Blue Basin?*
- 2. Are there other trail issues that should be addressed besides those listed in our Trails Plan and discussed in this memo?*
- 3. Would BOSAC be supportive of this wilderness proposal if the boundaries could be adjusted to address the mountain bike trails conflicts, proactive wildfire mitigation and emergency wildfire response?*

### **Shock Hill Trail**

The realignment of the Shock Hill Trail (formerly known as the Black Loop) from the Shock Hill overlook to Josie's Cabin was an item on the 2009 Workplan approved by BOSAC. Staff has flagged out an appropriate alignment that minimizes ground disturbance and tree removal and enables a much better grade and trail experience than the existing alignment down a utility corridor.

Staff walked this alignment with Troy Heflin from Higher Ground Earthworks (who constructed the Hoodoo Voodoo trail) and received a bid on this work. The bid includes cutting, clearing and excavating a 16' wide reroute of the Shock Hill nordic and bike trail; cutting and stacking the trees necessary to build the trail; removing stumps from the trail; excavating necessary material to obtain a 16' wide trail for the rerouted section; terminating the existing trail after the overlook; and chipping trees and slash for a trail base. The proposal was to do this work for \$8,800.

### *Discussion Items:*

- 1. Does BOSAC support the continuation of this project?*
- 2. Are there any further issues that BOSAC would like to have addressed?*
- 3. Does BOSAC support the staff pursuing this project based upon the aforementioned bid?*

### **BOSAC Meeting Date Change**

As discussed by BOSAC in May, and as required by Town ordinance, BOSAC will need to once again review the ordinance that will change the official BOSAC meeting date from the first Monday of each month to the third Monday of each month. The primary intent of this meeting date change is to allow for the inclusion of draft BOSAC minutes in Town Council packets. Staff requests that BOSAC discuss and vote on the proposed meeting date change. The draft ordinance is included in your packet.

#### *Discussion Items:*

- 1. Does BOSAC support the ordinance changing the date of the meeting from the first to the third Monday of every month?*

### **Open Space Pro Forma/Budget**

Included in the packet is the Open Space Pro Forma that has been updated to include audited numbers from 2008 and budgeted numbers for 2010. Staff will discuss the basis and philosophy behind the 2010 numbers.

#### *Discussion items:*

- 1. Are there any questions related to the pro forma?*

### ***Roll Call***

Dennis Kuhn called the June 15, 2009 BOSAC meeting to order at 5:40 pm. Other BOSAC members present included Erin Hunter, Ellen Hollinshead, Jeff Cospolich, Monique Merrill, Peter Joyce and Scott Yule. Staff members present were Heide Andersen, Scott Reid and Peter Grosshuesch.

### ***Approval of Minutes***

The minutes were approved as presented.

### ***Approval of Agenda***

The agenda was approved as presented.

### ***Public Comments***

There were no public comments.

### **Open Space and Trails**

#### **BOSAC/ Town Council Agenda Items**

Ms. Andersen introduced the background of the joint BOSAC/Town Council meeting agenda items, including the acquisition strategies and the stated goal in the Town Comprehensive Plan to be a Nordic skiing and mountain bike destination.

Mr. Joyce: Council is seeking BOSAC's feedback. We are striving to not be reactive, but to rather be proactive in potentially promoting trails. Currently event promoters are the only ones promoting the Town's trail system.

Mr. Cospolich: The Golden Horseshoe planning process brought this issue to a head, and BOSAC and others put the brakes on promotion as premature. I think the Town should promote the summer trails. Active advertising of our trail resources will only help the local economy. We've been passive and we have an opportunity to take advantage of the trails we have. I think there is plenty of signage on Town trails to promote it. We might lose the opportunity to market and get more guests. It's good for the Town and for our open space program in terms of more stewardship and more revenue.

Ms. Hollinshead: The mission of BOSAC is to preserve and protect land. Nothing in our Open Space plan says to promote use. The plan does not ask BOSAC to promote our open space and trails. The more people we bring, the more impact we will experience. I would rather let the event promoters do it themselves and see where it goes. As a BOSAC commissioner, our focus should be on protecting open space. If anything, we should move slowly.

Ms. Merrill: Many of the events are for locals. Our role is to preserve open space and keep the trails in a natural state. We should just let it run its course.

Ms. Hunter: I wonder if folks who are not trail users would support spending open space funds on promoting mountain biking or any other use.

Mr. Kuhn: I think we should let things evolve on their own. Events are already promoting the Town. We should see how the events do this summer. Regarding nordic skiing, I have heard that the town is considering not opening the nordic trails. Is this true?

Mr. Joyce: That concept was brought up as a suggestion for a way to save money, among many other suggestions. The trails could potentially be groomed, and free for access, but there would be no staffing of the Gold Run Nordic Center.

Mr. Yule: The Town trail system is pretty limited. The routes all lead to something that is bigger and outside of town. I don't think the Town system is worth promoting at this point, since there is nothing compelling to promote. The events use our system to start and finish the events. We are the hub of a future trail system that will be great, but most of it is outside town. In winter, we groom Town trails and it is well signed, available and clear to all users.

Mr. Joyce: The essence of this question is whether the Town should control its message or let it take its course. Is it important to devote energy and resources to brand the town trail system? We are lucky that we have high quality promoters and events so far, but should they be the ones to develop and carry the Town's message?

Ms. Hollinshead: The Town needs to stay on top of the event promoters and make sure it dictates what is said.

Mr. Cospolich: Due to the soft economy, I think we need to market this. We don't offer beginner level trails or good lift access trails, but we do offer upper intermediate and above trails. This is an opportunity that could be done well to draw people here instead of other places like Crested Butte. We are missing the train if we don't take this on. It's not Jeff Westcott's job to market the Town; instead he is able to send email blasts to past racers. That is not promotion of the trails. The Breck Epic will have minimal impact on overall trail promotion. We need to get people to think of Breckenridge as a place to come stay and bike.

Ms. Merrill: I am concerned that people won't know where to go. Signage is poor once you leave Town trails.

Mr. Yule: Once we build a trail, our role is to maintain the trails and provide good access. If an event damages the trails, we need to play a role in ensuring that those damages are repaired. I agree that it is not BOSAC's job to promote the Town trails, just build and maintain them.

Mr. Kuhn: Maybe we should outline to promoters and Council what message we want to send as a Town. Should Council look at guidelines for promoters to help unify and establish a consistent message regarding our trails?

Mr Yule: The Nordic system is ready to be promoted, the summer trails are not.

Mr. Joyce: It may be inevitable that cycling and skiing will grow. I would like to see us take on the marketing to take control rather than let it evolve. We should bring people to Town doing the activities that we as a community support. We are not even close to capacity on our trail system.

You rarely see people on the trails. Breckenridge is about healthy endeavors and we should support and promote that to the public.

Ms. Hunter: I am unsure if the trails are ready for mountain biking. However, the Nordic trails are ready for marketing.

Ms. Merrill: No on mountain biking and yes on Nordic skiing. The greater signage is not ready for mountain biking promotion. I don't think that Nordic skiing trails are at capacity. We are on the map already in the Nordic skiing world.

Mr. Cospolich: The trails are ready to be marketed as is. If you go to any other similar town that is regionally significant in mountain biking or Nordic skiing, I don't think their signs are any better than ours. Our demographic is intermediate and higher. Part of the appeal for some is having to ask for directions at Amazing Grace or elsewhere. Nordic skiing is a much more controlled environment with groomed trails. The potential population of Nordic skiing is smaller than mountain bikers.

Ms. Hollinshead: We are not ready for mountain biking promotions because the signage is poor. The Golden Horseshoe and the USFS Travel Management Plan need to be finalized. We need more signage so people don't get lost. Better maps, better signage are needed. Nordic skiing is set in place and ready to be marketed.

Mr. Cospolich: We have also had discussions about not putting in trailheads in until the use is dictates it. Why wouldn't the same logic apply to signage?

Mr. Kuhn: We need to separate Nordic skiing and mountain biking as issues, since it seems that Nordic skiing is ready to be promoted, but the mountain biking is still evolving and becoming more complete.

Mr. Yule: The Town is already promoting the trails through the Summit Trail Running Series.

Ms. Hunter: Why is this focused only on mountain biking and not on other trail uses? Is it because they have the most upside in terms of tax dollars? I think we should be careful to not exclude other non-motorized uses.

Mr. Yule: Mr. Rossi often stated that the Trails Plan and Upper Blue Nordic Master Plan are outdated. Do we agree? It may be time to plan for the next steps, since many of the goals in the Nordic master plan have been attained. I think we are good to go with the Trails Plan, which was just revised.

Ms. Hollinshead: We should update the Nordic master plan.

Mr. Joyce: Now is a good time to plan, because it is cheaper to plan now, and then build later.

Acquisition Topic:

BOSAC agreed unanimously that the assessment sheets and Acquisition strategy documents were very useful and should be retained when considering potential acquisitions.

Mr. Joyce: The concentric circles concept is useful because it includes proximity to Town as a consideration.

Mr. Yule: The identified action areas are useful. I want to know if a given property is in an area of focus.

Ms. Hunter: I think including proximity to Town should be added as a concept in the acquisition strategy document.

Ms. Hollinshead: The Town open space program goes to Hoosier Pass in my opinion. I think we should be protecting land between Tiger Road and Hoosier Pass.

Mr. Cospolich: I don't think the concentric circles concept fits. I think the acquisition strategy document is good as it is.

Mr. Kuhn: These two documents work well together. We don't need the concentric circles concept. Let's make sure to use these documents when we consider acquisitions.

BOSAC directed staff to review the Open Space Plan to ensure that the boundaries of the program go all the way to Hoosier Pass.

### **BOSAC/OSAC Agenda Items**

BOSAC agreed that the joint BOSAC/OSAC agenda was appropriate.

### **Commissioner Issues**

Ms. Hunter: Please prepare the paragraph to be read to go into Executive Session.

Ms. Merrill: Many of the rides are currently wet. It would be great to encourage the event promoters to keep people off of wet and snowy trails. Perhaps the promoters could offer training rides or information about what is open and dry and what is not.

Ms. Hollinshead: We should put "avoid muddy trails" on the trail posts throughout the system. The route on the top of Nightmare on Baldy is very wet. We should install "Trail Closed due to Muddy Conditions" signs on the trails. I would like to discuss dog poop stations at all trailheads.

Mr. Joyce: Is there any progress on the Sallie Barber Road issue? (Discussions are ongoing.)

Mr. Yule: Nice work on the Lower Flume.

### ***Next Meeting***

The next BOSAC meeting is a joint meeting with OSAC and is scheduled for 5:30 pm on July 13, 2009 in the BOCC Room in the County Courthouse. BOSAC will also meet jointly with Town Council on June 23, 2009 at 6:00 pm in Council Chambers.

Ms. Hunter motioned to adjourn the meeting and Ms. Merrill seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

---

Dennis Kuhn, Chair

*The July 13, 2009, BOSAC Meeting was a joint meeting with OSAC; therefore, there are no minutes from this meeting.*

***Next Meeting***

The next BOSAC meeting is scheduled for 5:30 pm on August 17, 2009 in the Administrative Conference Room on the third floor of Town Hall, 150 Ski Hill Road.

1 Additions To The Current Commission Rules Are  
2 Indicated By **Bold + Dbl Underline**; Deletions By ~~Strikeout~~

3  
4 BEFORE THE BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMISSION

5  
6 RESOLUTION NO. 1

7  
8 SERIES 2009

9  
10 A RESOLUTION AMENDING RULE 5.1 OF THE RULES OF THE BRECKENRIDGE OPEN  
11 SPACE ADVISORY COMMISSION CONCERNING THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
12 COMMISSION

13  
14 WHEREAS, the Breckenridge Open Space Advisory Commission ("Commission") has  
15 heretofore adopted rules and regulations governing the transaction of business by the Commission;  
16 and

17  
18 WHEREAS, the Commission desires to amend Rule 5.1 as hereafter provided; and

19  
20 WHEREAS, Rule 18 of the Rules of the Breckenridge Open Space Advisory Commission  
21 ("Rules") provides that the Rules may be amended at any regular or special meeting by the  
22 affirmative vote of a majority of the Commission; provided, however, that (i) such proposed  
23 amendment has been submitted to the Commission in writing at the preceding regular Commission  
24 meeting so that the Commission members will have adequate time to review and consider such  
25 proposed amendment, and (ii) no such amendment shall become effective until the same has been  
26 approved by the Town Council; and

27  
28 WHEREAS, this Resolution was submitted to the Commission at the regular Commission  
29 meeting held May 18, 2009 as required by Rule 18 of the Rules of the Commission.

30  
31 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE  
32 ADVISORY COMMISSION, as follows:

33  
34 Section 1. Rule 5.1 of the Rules of the Breckenridge Open Space Advisory Commission is  
35 hereby amended so as to read in its entirety as follows:

36  
37 5.1 Regular Meetings. The regular meeting of the Commission shall be held at  
38 5:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers of the Breckenridge Town Hall on the  
39 ~~second~~ **third** Monday of each month; provided, however, that if such day is  
40 a legal holiday, the meeting shall be held on the next day which is not a  
41 Tuesday or a holiday. A regular meeting may be held at any other  
42 convenient time or place with the consent of the Commission. Notice of a  
43 regular meeting, including specific agenda information where possible, shall  
44 be posted in the place designated in Rule 9.3 not less than twenty-four (24)  
45 hours prior to the holding of the meeting.  
46

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

Section 2. This Resolution shall become effective upon its adoption, and the amendment to the Rules as provided for herein shall become effective when approved by the Town Council of the Town of Breckenridge as required by Section 2-4-8 of the Breckenridge Town Code.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY  
COMMISSION

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Chair

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

	Audited	Adjusted										
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Comments</b>	
Misc. Land Acquisitions	77,795	872,391	489,250	503,928	519,045	534,617	550,655	567,175	584,190	601,716	Budget line item 54421--2008 relects budgeted--still determining actual	
Cuc Wedge/Claimjumper Acquisition		862,500									Originally budgeted for 2008	
B&B Clean-up	1,383,756	103,000	53,000	54,590	56,228	57,915	59,652	61,442	63,285	65,183	54422, 2009 includes rollover of 50k (est.) for W/O treatment plant construction, VCUP expenses, and 50k O&M	
Debt Service B&B	188,766	302,257	297,455	297,653	297,711	297,627	302,401	300,000	300,000	300,000	55524, \$13,250 appr;\$4.5mil bond @5% 20 yrs;	
Debt Service Cucumber	493,214	399,033	385,323									
Nordic-Nature Bldg											Nature/nordic center eliminated for time being to balance budget	
Swan Mt Bike Path						90,000						
Administration	287,158	262,496	252,649	260,228	268,035	276,076	284,359	292,889	301,676	310,726	51111-51138 (wages and benefits), 52214-53321 (printing and postage), 53372-53374 (training, travel, and BOSAC), 5800 (garage fund), 3% annual growth	
Legal Services	0	5,000	5,000	5,150	5,305	5,464	5,628	5,796	5,970	6,149	53352, B&B Consent decree followup	
Consultants	84,889	70,000	70,000	72,100	74,263	76,491	78,786	81,149	83,584	86,091	53355, 3% annual growth, Cucumber monitoring, permitting	
Other professional services	142,465	216,500	216,500	222,995	229,685	236,575	243,673	250,983	258,512	266,268	53359 3% annual growth, forest mgmt, weed control, GH forest mgmt/health planning/historic stabilization	
Other contracted services	12,518	10,000	10,000	10,300	10,609	10,927	11,255	11,593	11,941	12,299	53399 and 53405, nature series non-labor expenses (ends in 2008), surveying and appraisals	
Trails construction and maintenance	181,843	160,500	200,500	206,515	212,710	219,092	225,665	232,434	239,407	246,590	54426, 54427, 54430, Town trails and landscape construction, towards GH trails, Friends of Breck, 3% annual growth	
<b>TOTAL EXP</b>	<b>2,852,404</b>	<b>3,263,677</b>	<b>1,979,677</b>	<b>1,633,459</b>	<b>1,673,591</b>	<b>1,804,784</b>	<b>1,762,072</b>	<b>1,803,461</b>	<b>1,848,565</b>	<b>1,895,022</b>		
<b>REVENUES</b>												
Sales Tax	1,805,729	1,534,870	1,550,218	1,565,721	1,581,378	1,597,192	1,613,163	1,629,295	1,645,588	1,662,044	Based on 1% annual growth	
Interest	111,925	53,496	49,068	21,300	16,548	26,161	33,750	30,951	28,726	25,614	2007 proposed, then previous year's average balance multiplied by 3%	
B&B Land Sales											Potential divestiture properties	
B&B Bond												
TDR Sales	87,202	128,000	50,000	50,000	703,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	Expected sale of 6 TDRs to Shock Hill in 2009 and 38 TDRs in 2011	
Grants		16,500									2009 Turk's Trail state grant 1/2 of total 33k grant (shared with County)	
Miscellaneous	6,972	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	Rental income	
<b>TOTAL REV</b>	<b>2,011,828</b>	<b>1,737,866</b>	<b>1,654,287</b>	<b>1,642,021</b>	<b>2,305,926</b>	<b>1,678,353</b>	<b>1,701,913</b>	<b>1,715,246</b>	<b>1,729,314</b>	<b>1,742,657</b>		
<b>BEG. BALANCE</b>	<b>3,241,329</b>	<b>2,398,521</b>	<b>872,710</b>	<b>547,319</b>	<b>555,881</b>	<b>1,188,216</b>	<b>1,061,785</b>	<b>1,001,627</b>	<b>913,412</b>	<b>794,160</b>	previous year's balance	
<b>END BALANCE</b>	<b>2,398,521</b>	<b>872,710</b>	<b>547,319</b>	<b>555,881</b>	<b>1,188,216</b>	<b>1,061,785</b>	<b>1,001,627</b>	<b>913,412</b>	<b>794,160</b>	<b>641,795</b>		