

Town Council Regular Meeting

Tuesday, January 22, 2019, 7:00 PM Council Chambers 150 Ski Hill Road Breckenridge, Colorado

- I. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL
- II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES - JANUARY 8, 2019

- III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- IV. COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL
 - A. CITIZEN'S COMMENT (NON-AGENDA ITEMS ONLY; 3-MINUTE TIME LIMIT PLEASE)
- V. CONTINUED BUSINESS
 - A. SECOND READING OF COUNCIL BILLS, SERIES 2019 PUBLIC HEARINGS
- VI. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. FIRST READING OF COUNCIL BILLS, SERIES 2019
 - B. RESOLUTIONS, SERIES 2019
 - 1. RESOLUTION NO. 3, SERIES 2019 A RESOLUTION DECLARING COUNCIL INTENT FOR TRANSFER OF 2016 CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION REMAINING PROCEEDS
 - C. OTHER

VII. PLANNING MATTERS

- A. PLANNING COMMISSION DECISIONS
- B. CHILD CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
- VIII. REPORT OF TOWN MANAGER AND STAFF
- IX. REPORT OF MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS
 - A. CAST/MMC (MAYOR MAMULA)
 - B. BRECKENRIDGE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (MR. BERGERON)
 - C. BRECKENRIDGE TOURISM OFFICE (MS. WOLFE)
 - D. BRECKENRIDGE HERITAGE ALLIANCE (MS. GIGLIELLO)
 - E. BRECKENRIDGE CREATIVE ARTS (MS. LAWRENCE)

F. BRECKENRIDGE EVENTS COMMITTEE (MS. GIGLIELLO)

X. OTHER MATTERS

XI. SCHEDULED MEETINGS

A. SCHEDULED MEETINGS FOR 1ST QUARTER 2019

XII. ADJOURNMENT

1 of 3

I) CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL

Mayor Mamula called the meeting of January 8, 2019 to order at 7:01 pm. The following members answered roll call: Ms. Lawrence, Mr. Carleton, Mr. Bergeron, Ms. Gigliello, Ms. Wolfe, Mr. Gallagher and Mayor Mamula.

II) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A) TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES – December 11, 2018

With no changes or corrections to the meeting minutes of December 11, 2018, Mayor Mamula declared they would stand approved.

III) APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Holman stated there were no changes to the agenda. Mayor Mamula declared the agenda approved.

IV) COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

A) CITIZEN'S COMMENT (NON-AGENDA ITEMS ONLY; 3-MINUTE TIME LIMIT PLEASE)

Mayor Mamula opened Citizen's Comment.

Mr. Jeff Westcott introduced himself as owner of Maverick Sports. He was present to say thank you for all of the support for both his events and events in general. He stated that whether events be sporty, artistic or quirky that they really add to the fabric of the community.

There were no additional comments and Citizen's Comment was closed.

B) BRECKENRIDGE TOURISM OFFICE

Ms. Wendy Wolfe gave the update on behalf of Ms. Lucy Kay in Work Session.

C) BRECKENRIDGE SKI RESORT

Mr. John Buhler, COO of Breckenridge Ski Resort, stated that the winds yesterday shut the resort down. He wanted to thank the Town and transportation for helping to move people off of the resort. Mr. Buhler listed a variety of accomplishments that the Ski Resort was able to have due to the record early season snowfall. Ms. Lawrence inquired about the increase in moose at the ski area and asked if there was a plan in place for them. Mr. Buhler stated that every incident is different. When there is a moose sighting on the mountain, they quickly close the trail and allow the moose to cross before reopening. The last thing that they want to have to do is to tranquilize and move them. Ms. Lawrence inquired about education for moose safety. Mr. Buhler stated that they have signage about the moose, but it is challenging and encounters are becoming more frequent.

V) CONTINUED BUSINESS

- A) SECOND READING OF COUNCIL BILLS, SERIES 2019 PUBLIC HEARINGS
- 1) COUNCIL BILL NO. 35, SERIES 2018 AN ORDINANCE MAKING MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 9 OF THE BRECKENRIDGE TOWN CODE

Mayor Mamula read the title into the minutes. Mr. Truckee stated that this ordinance adopts a series of code amendments to Town Code.

Mayor Mamula opened the public hearing. There were no comments and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Bergeron moved to approve COUNCIL BILL NO. 35, SERIES 2018 - AN ORDINANCE MAKING MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 9 OF THE BRECKENRIDGE TOWN CODE. Mr. Gallagher seconded the motion.

The motion passed 7-0.

2) COUNCIL BILL NO. 36, SERIES 2018 - AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AS A LANDMARK UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF TITLE

TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, January 8, 2019 PAGE 2

2 of 3

9 OF THE BRECKENRIDGE TOWN CODE (Casey Residence, 112 North French Street, Lots 3 & 4, Block 3, Abbett Addition)

Mayor Mamula read the title into the minutes. Mr. Berry stated there were no changes to the ordinance from first reading.

Mayor Mamula opened the public hearing. There were no comments and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Bergeron moved to approve COUNCIL BILL NO. 36, SERIES 2018 - AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AS A LANDMARK UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF TITLE 9 OF THE BRECKENRIDGE TOWN CODE (Casey Residence, 112 North French Street, Lots 3 & 4, Block 3, Abbett Addition). Mr. Gallagher seconded the motion.

The motion passed 7-0.

VI) NEW BUSINESS

- A) FIRST READING OF COUNCIL BILLS, SERIES 2018
- B) RESOLUTIONS, SERIES 2018
- 1) RESOLUTION NO. 1, SERIES 2019 A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE INTENT OF THE TOWN TO BE REIMBURSED FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES RELATING TO CERTAIN CAPITAL EXPENDITURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE FINANCING OF A PARKING STRUCTURE.

Mayor Mamula read the title into the minutes. Mr. Berry stated that this resolution allows the Town to recover certain planning expenses for the parking structure.

Mayor Mamula opened the public hearing. There were no comments and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Bergeron moved to approve RESOLUTION NO. 1, SERIES 2019 - A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE INTENT OF THE TOWN TO BE REIMBURSED FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES RELATING TO CERTAIN CAPITAL EXPENDITURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE FINANCING OF A PARKING STRUCTURE. Ms. Gigliello seconded the motion.

2) RESOLUTION NO. 2, SERIES 2019 - A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE PROVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES WITH SUMMIT COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND THE SUMMIT COUNTY SHERIFF.

Mayor Mamula read the title into the minutes. Chief Baird stated that this resolution continues an existing intergovernmental agreement that we have with the Sheriff's office.

Mayor Mamula opened the public hearing. There were no comments and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Bergeron moved to approve RESOLUTION NO. 2, SERIES 2019 - A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE PROVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES WITH SUMMIT COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND THE SUMMIT COUNTY SHERIFF. Mr. Carlton seconded the motion.

C) OTHER

VII) PLANNING MATTERS

A) PLANNING COMMISSION DECISIONS

Mayor Mamula declared the Planning Commission Decisions would stand approved as presented.

VIII) REPORT OF TOWN MANAGER AND STAFF

The Report of Town Manager and Staff was covered during the afternoon work session.

IX) REPORT OF MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, January 8, 2019 PAGE 3

3 of 3

The Report of Mayor and Council Members was covered during the afternoon work session

X) OTHER MATTERS

Ms. Gigliello stated that she was in a community affairs meeting and a citizen requested that there be an easy to understand version of what Town Council will be talking about that can be e-mailed out prior to the meeting

Ms. Wolfe stated that she is concerned about some of the lighting in town. She has noticed that there are still a lot of dark spots and is encouraging Town staff to continue to work on lighting improvements. Mr. Phelps stated that they are still working on adding brighter LED lights, but that it will change the feeling of the current downtown area. Mr. Holman inquired if Council could go and look at the lights and continue the discussion. Ms. Wolfe stated that we also have to do something about the snow on the roof at the Exchange Building. Mr. Holman stated that we are working with them and an engineer to come to some sort of an arrangement to help with the roof and snow issue. Ms. Lawrence stated that she couldn't remember if there is an ordinance for people antagonizing the moose, but that last Thursday there were videos posted of people touching the moose. Mr. Holman stated that there is a code about harassing wildlife. Mr. Mamula stated that we need more trash cans on Main Street and at the Riverwalk.

XI) SCHEDULED MEETINGS

A) SCHEDULED MEETINGS FOR JANUARY AND FEBRUARY

XII) ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 7:32 pm. Submitted by Taryn Power, CMC, Deputy Town Clerk.

ATTEST:		
Helen Cospolich, CMC, Town Clerk	Eric S. Mamula, Mayor	



Memo

To: Breckenridge Town Council

From: Brian Waldes, Finance Director

Date: 1.15.19

Subject: 2019 COP Transfer of Funds Resolution

The purpose of this memo is to explain the need for the attached Transfer of Funds memo for the 2016 Certificates of Participation (COPs).

Background

In 2016 the Town issued \$10.06M in COPs to finance the construction of the Huron Landing housing project and to refinance the balance of the 2005 COPs that funded the construction of the Breckenridge Police Department facility. The Huron Landing project costs are split by the Town and Summit County. This partnership continues, and the two entities split all net costs of operating Huron Landing, including the debt service for the 2016 COPs.

The 2016 COPs included \$8.5M in proceeds for the construction of the Huron Landing project. Construction draws total \$8,003,074.31, leaving an excess of \$496,925.69. When adding interest earnings, the funds remaining total \$540,136.06.

Next Steps

There are two options for the disposition of the remaining funds. Since the Town is the issuing party for the COPs, we have the option to use the funds for further qualified Town capital projects. The funds can also be used to meet the debt service costs for the COPs.

The Huron Landing Authority has discussed this decision and both the Town and County recommend using the remaining funds to offset the 2019 debt service expense. The attached resolution authorizes this action.

Staff will be at worksession to answer any questions Council may have.

FOR WORKSESSION/ADOPTION – JAN. 22 RESOLUTION NO. ____ Series 2019 A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS (2016 Certificates of Participation) WHEREAS, the Town of Breckenridge issued its Certificates of Participation, Series 2016, in the original principal amount of \$10,060,000 for purposes that included funding the construction of the Huron Landing housing project; and WHEREAS, the construction of the Huron Landing housing project has been substantially completed, and the total cost of construction has been determined; and WHEREAS, after the payment of all costs of construction the Huron Landing housing project funds remain the Construction Fund that was established in connection with the Certificates of Participation ("Construction Fund"); and WHEREAS, it is necessary and appropriate for the Town Council to authorize the transfer of all funds remaining in the Construction Fund to the Base Rentals Fund that was also established in connection with the Certificates of Participation ("Base Rentals Fund"). NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE, COLORADO: Section 1. All funds remaining in the in the Construction Fund shall be transferred to the Base Rentals Fund. Section 2. The Town's Finance Director, or his designee, is authorized to sign such certificates or other documents as may be required to complete the transfer of funds from the Construction Fund to the Base Rental Fund authorized by this resolution. The Town Council hereby ratifies and confirms, in advance, any such certificates or other documents signed by the Town's Finance Director, or his designee, pursuant to the authority granted by this Section 2. Section 3. This resolution is effective upon adoption. RESOLUTION APPROVED AND ADOPTED this day of , 2019. TOWN OF BRECKENRIDGE Eric S. Mamula, Mayor

ATTEST:	
Helen Cospolich, CMC,	
Town Clerk	
APPROVED IN FORM	
Town Attorney	Date
	Helen Cospolich, CMC, Town Clerk APPROVED IN FORM



Memo

To: Breckenridge Town Council Members

From: Peter Grosshuesch, Director of Community Development

Date: **January 16, 2019**

Subject: Planning Commission Decisions of the January 15, 2019 Meeting

DECISIONS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, January 15, 2019:

CLASS A APPLICATIONS: None.

CLASS B APPLICATIONS: None.

CLASS C APPLICATIONS:

1. Village at Breckenridge Plaza Art, 655 S. Park Ave, PL-2018-0609. A proposal to install art in the Village of Breckenridge Plaza, attached to existing walls and light posts. *Approved.*

TOWN PROJECT HEARINGS: None.

OTHER:

1. The Commission voted in favor of changing the date of the February 5th meeting to January 29th, and the April 16th meeting to April 10th; both to avoid scheduling conflicts.





PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m. by Chair Giller.

ROLL CALL

Christie Mathews-Leidal Jim Lamb Ron Schuman—arrived at 5:52

Mike Giller Steve Gerard
Dan Schroder Lowell Moore

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

With no changes, the January 2, 2019 Planning Commission Minutes were approved.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Julia requested adding one item regarding the second April PC meeting and PC agreed. With no other changes, the January 15, 2019 Planning Commission Agenda was approved.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION ISSUES:

No comments.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

1. Village at Breckenridge Plaza Art (CL), 655 S. Park Ave, PL-2018-0609

With no call ups, the Consent Calendar was approved as presented.

WORK SESSIONS:

1. Sign Code

Ms. Puester presented an overview of proposed code modifications in regards to signage on private property. A recent Supreme Court decision has prompted municipalities across the country to rewrite their sign code to eliminate content related references, and staff has been working with the Town Attorney to make modifications that keep key concepts similar to what exists today rather than making substantive changes to a Sign Code that staff believes is generally working well for the community and for property owners. The memo points out the primary changes. Ms. Puester reviewed the primary changes with the Commission, and asked for comments and questions.

Commissioner Questions / Comments:

Mr. Schroder: The renderings are a great addition. Helps explain signs well.

Mr. Giller: Real estate signs state owner or licensed agent or property owner. Add property

management company.

Mr. Gerard: Prohibited signs, balloons are often used to mark an event, what is intent? Will this be an

issue with weddings? (Ms. Puester: It is geared toward a commercial transaction; businesses. You need a permit for a permanent sign rather than balloons out at your business every weekend for example as an attention getting device.) Balloons at a storefront are not necessary. Signs on private property regulating actions in ROW? (Ms. Puester: That is proposed as prohibited. It is a new item.) So you couldn't have a sign that says no parking in the right of way? That is good to have in here. In the section for parked vehicles not to be used as signs, should say something about delivery vehicles being exempt. (Ms. Puester: Can add that. This is not intended to regulate delivery vehicles or if your home or office personal vehicles is parked at your home at night with your business

name wrapped on it.)

Mr. Lamb: Santa on Fatty's ok? (Ms. Puester: That falls under holiday decorations.)

Ms. Leidal: I thought it was very well done, thank you.

PRELIMINARY HEARINGS:

1. East Peak 8 Hotel, 1599 Ski Hill Rd, PL-2018-0576

Mr. Kulick presented a proposal to construct a hotel and condominium project consisting of 58 for sale condominiums and a four star, 137 guest room hotel. The project also includes amenity spaces, back of house support spaces, common areas, a restaurant, bar, commercial kitchen, pool and spa, ski lockers, and outdoor dining and seating. The PC reviewed a conceptual fit test for this project last summer, prior to approval of Development Agreement by the Town Council.

Per master plan, ends of Peak 8 site should be smaller than buildings to the middle. Overall density of this structure is more than Ski Hill Place. However, the East Peak 8 Hotel is broken into three different modules, none of which is as large than Ski Hill Place. Staff requests PC input if they agree with staff interpretation.

View corridors shown and we believe it meets the intent of the master plan.

Building height: 62 feet allowed from finished grade. Finished grade has been determined and noted in staff report. 73' 10" proposed and warrants -10 points per code because more than ½ story above recommended but less than one story higher than Code. Staff looking for PC input on whether they agree with the height measurement methodology.

Master plan requires buildings on the edges to be subordinate in height to One Ski Hill Place, the middle building in master plan. In the development agreement the applicants agreed to not exceed USGS height of the east cross gable of One Ski Hill Place building.

Longest unbroken ridgeline exceeds 50' and thus warrants -1 point under Policy 6/R.

The project steps down nicely on east adjacent to the Four O'clock neighborhood and on the north side by Ski Hill Road. However, the building does not step down on the west adjacent to One Ski Hill Place so no positive or negative points are recommended.

Buffering: Most sides have good landscaping and buffering. However, western elevation adjacent to One Ski Hill Place has little buffering and so we recommend -4 points under Policy 7/R.

Retaining walls: 10 over 4' tall so -4 points recommended, one is 19 feet tall along the right of way. Total -8 under Policy 7R.

39,000 sq. ft. snowmelt so -3 points is the maximum point allocation here.

Trash incorporated into principal structure, +1 points.

Circulation: No access off Sawmill Road, which will reduce traffic in that neighborhood. Two entrances off Ski Hill Road. One for guests and one for delivery and loading on west. Skier access easement is provided for neighbors between main bldg. and townhomes. +3 points recommended under Policy 16/R for providing public access.

Parking: A surplus of parking is provided on site. Applicant responded to the Town Council's desire for more parking. Hotel includes a large restaurant and bar and parking meets this and beyond. Altogether, they have a surplus of 242 spaces over what's required per the master plan. Two competing goals: discourage traffic on

Date 1/15/2019 Page 3

Ski Hill Road but also provide adequate parking. We feel they cancel out and thus no positive or negative points are recommended for excess parking under Policy 16/R.

Open space provided exceeds the Code requirements of Policy 21/R.

Landscaping: 140 Evergreens and 180 deciduous trees. Good job buffering along all property sides except to the west. Would like PC input on whether this landscaping plan deserves +2 points under Policy 22/R for an above average landscaping plan.

Policy 24R: Employee housing is beyond 10% and should be awarded +10 points. Over 20,000 sq. ft. of amenities provided so +6 points recommended.

25R: shuttle service will guaranteed in perpetuity via a covenant so +4 points recommended.

33R all walkways and driveways are snow melted so -3 points are warranted. Also, the project proposes 3 outdoor fire pits so -1 point for each. Total of -6 points are recommended under Policy 33R.

Overall preliminary point analysis +5 (+30 points and -25 points) We have some questions at the end of the report for the Commission:

- 1. Does the Commission believe the design, which breaks the project up into 3 modules, meets the intent of the Development Plan of the Peak 8 Base section of the Master Plan as it relates to density and the Plan Components section of the Peak 8 Base section of the Master Plan as it relates to view corridors?
- 2. Does the Commission agree with the height measurement methodology and analysis?
- 3. Does the Commission have any initial comments pertaining to architecture?
- 4. Would the Commission support awarding positive two (+2) points for providing significant onsite parking beyond the required minimum?
- 5. Does the Commission support awarding positive two (+2) points for providing above average landscaping?
- 6. Does the Commission agree with the remaining points in the Preliminary Point Analysis?

Commissioner Questions / Comments:

Mr. Lamb: Landscaping is in the tight area next to One Ski Hill Place? (Mr. Kulick: Yes.)

Ms. Leidal: You mentioned that additional shuttles required if ADT exceeds 1,600. How will it be

counted? (Mr. Kulick: There will be counters on the garage doors.) Is proposed easement just for Four O'clock people or is it public? In the past we've awarded positive points for public easements. (Mr. Kulick: Need to look into it a little further. Yes, should only be

positive points if public.)

Mr. Gerard: The easements should be shown on drawings. The one that abuts the development in Four

O'Clock subdivision should be looked into further. (Mr. Kulick: We will make sure they

are shown on the plans next time and it is clear who is entitled to access.)

Mr. Giller: December meeting talked about architecture a lot when we approved removing "rustic"

from the master plan and changed to "transitional (between rustic and contemporary)". Then we stressed that this should not be contemporary as it is shown here. (Mr. Kulick: Found the design to be transitional since it utilizes natural materials found in rustic designs such as stone bases, gabled roof forms, natural stained wood. Some traditional elements but more modern take on them.) Mr. Giller: I think the proportions are very contemporary, large masses of glass under gables and the forms. No information on the materials so hard to tell there. (Mr. Kulick: This is similar to what they presented to the Town Council during

the development agreement process.)

Mike Dudick, Applicant, presented:

First, I wanted to thank the staff for a great job. We started in fall 2017 and went through long process; March of last year we couldn't get a vote on the development agreement. With revisions, we received a 7-0 vote from Council. Did the fit test with Planning Commission on 58 additional units. Then received a development agreement with Council. We will have 137 keys for a 4-Star hotel, and about 50 condos that will have lock off units with them. The lock offs will be appointed similar to hotel rooms. There are a lot of economic benefits associated with this development agreement. For workforce housing, 20,000 sq. ft. will be deed restricted, and we are committed to new housing projects across from the distillery, which includes another 48 beds beyond the 20,000 sq. ft. that are net new to the community. The BOEC will get 1,500 square feet and have the ability to move vans into building and then take elevators to get directly to the snow. Compared to what they have to do today, this is easy access. Cucumber Gulch; \$125,000 cash at CO of the building and \$2 per paid guest night will go to preservation of the Gulch. We did a voluntary restriction on the height, which I don't know if it's ever been done before. One thing from the Fit Test was for us to work with neighbors. They have ski access, and we've also abandoned Sawmill Run Road. It's been a problem for neighbors for decades because access to the Admin building has been through the residential neighborhood. Hardscape needed on west side for deliveries and trash, so no delivery needed on Sawmill. Ski easement is for perpetual access for neighbors to get from the ski resort to their neighborhood.

Traffic safeguards were a big deal when we did the development agreement. There will be laser trip counters in and out of the garage. We needed to install the counters inside the garage because we can't control what's happening outside the building. We plan to be at less than 2 vehicular trips per day per vehicle. Guests will use shuttle and leave rental cars parked for the day. And the project is well in excess of the required parking.

Sarah Broughton, Architect, Presented:

The architecture is inspired by history and place, and also in response to the master plan, Breckenridge, and Peak 8. Camps and mining era buildings that talked to clustering of different scale buildings with varying roofs and roof forms. Also iconic alpine architecture that has evolved into transitional mountain style, bridges between traditional and modern. Natural materials; stone and wood, that is warm and aesthetically pleasing. We have Grand Colorado on one end, and One Ski Hill Place, so bookending should be transitional. We had multiple community outreach meetings. Very lively. Some of the main feedback we heard was an overall thanks for being transparent and including people in process. There was a lot of positive feedback on the architecture. Concerns were mainly traffic, and that was addressed in development agreement.

Mr. Dudick:

These were open houses for the community. We feel that this process has been the most complete out of all the building processes. The view corridors; PC saw red arrows (Mr. Dudick pointed out on plans) and they are maintained today. Showed elevations with floors that were eliminated before they ever came to PC to help with stepping down of roof forms. Extensive landscaping proposed around buildings. Breaking up of building mass, it's shown in 3 pods. In aggregate this project is larger than One Ski Hill Place but no individual pod is larger than One Ski Hill Place. Architecture: in their view it's moving to less rustic than One Ski Hill Place to transition out of rustic. We are here to listen to what you have and react in the next drawings.

Commissioner Questions / Comments:

Mr. Schroder: Is it a public deck? (Mr. Dudick: Yes with a restaurant open to the public.)

Mr. Schuman: Do you have panoramic photo/view of this building in comparison to the BGV buildings

and One Ski Hill Place-long street view? (Mr. Dudick: No, but will bring it back to next meeting. The goal is to get design elements to work for next meeting. Want to get what

this body wants.)

Mr. Giller: Would you consider different types of railing and materials? (Mr. Dudick: Yes want to get

there. We need to determine it's not contemporary.)

Public Comment:

Richard Himmelstein, I have a house at 19 Peak 8 Court and a condo at One Ski Hill Place. I just had some comments. I guess some of my biggest concerns are the truck loading. Right now if you really look at how One Ski Hill access is, it's got an arc to it, and it's really difficult for truck drivers to line up, especially new truck drivers, and sometimes doing it multiple times creates a real traffic jam, and when I look at their loading docks coming in, you've got the arc of Ski Hill Road, and they've got an arc proposed here in their driveway, and I think it's going to be a problem so I just wanted to mention that issue. A couple of other issues I want to mention; I strongly recommend that we really get sidewalk circulation in the plan; I was really disappointed with Grand Colorado 1 and 2 because there's no sidewalk installed along Ski Watch Drive, and Ski Watch Drive is quite steep, and usually snow packed, and lots of people are walking along that road; not only people from the gondola but to Ski Watch Condos; Ski Watch Condos has a lot of tenants and also Grand Colorado has a lot of dog walkers and they're all on that road. Sooner or later someone is going to get injured. Another issue I wanted to mention is that there's a lot of dog waste. And I know everyone cares about Cucumber Gulch but I just think that it's another thing you guys should focus on in Planning at this point is sidewalk circulation and also dog waste because, especially time share owners, they don't seem to pick it up. I believe the Planning department said this building measures out at 72 ft. and I believe the staff report for One Ski Hill including the cupola was at 76 feet so I'm really kind of surprised that the building steps down that much from the cupola if there's only a 4 foot difference. One of my biggest issues, and I've brought it before planning before and I've wrote a letter to the mayor and Town Council, is traffic. Our traffic has gotten terrible on Ski Hill road, the past high season traffic was backed up to the Nordic Center all the way down to Park Avenue and that's before the 804 building, or what the Grand Colorado calls their number three building, even opens. And when I see how much density is being put on this site, I think we really need to figure out the infrastructure because it's really become a nightmare. One other thing I wanted to mention is the Master Plan shows this site as 3 much smaller buildings than One Ski Hill Place, and it shows those buildings as 35 feet in height and this is obviously significantly larger. One other thing on the Master Plan is it does show an entry sign, "Welcome to the Base of Peak 8", and wanted to see if that could be incorporated into this plan at this time as well. Does anyone have any questions for me? Thank you.

Jane Hamilton, I am one of the neighbors: If the restaurant is open to public, are you also opening the spa and the workout facility to the public? (Mr. Dudick: The spa.) Just personally, I've had the opportunity to meet with BGV, specifically Mike and Graham, on several occasions and they've been a delight to work with. I'm just one neighbor, so I'll speak for myself, that they've been great to work with.

Steven Kneller, property owner at Crystal Peak lodge on Peak 7 and HOA board member, and a former owner at One Ski Hill Place. I've known Graham and some of these folks for quite some time. I commend them for the work they've done on this project. It's pretty remarkable to see it coming together. But I do echo Richard's concern about traffic and loading on Ski Hill Road. I know that's not Planning Commission directly, but the number of times coming up that road and encountering significant traffic, trucks trying to back into those driveways, the construction sites, everything else, trying to get into Crystal Peak; I wonder if there's anything that can be done through you folks or Town Council to try to address the traffic flow. I know they're working on shuttle busses to bring guests up and down during peak times but I know some of the busses from Crystal Peak take a long time to get down into town which is going to discourage people from using them. Ski Hill Road being a fairly narrow, two lane road, and a lot of truck traffic deliveries being brought up there creates an ongoing problem that is not going to get any better with this development, particularly during the construction period but even post-construction with deliveries being brought up for the large restaurants and everything else. Again, I don't know what can be done; there's not much room to put in a turning lane or anything like that there, I think when you're addressing the design for that access point, careful consideration for how those trucks are going to get in and out of there and make the turn to come back down, they need a little room. In the drawings, I'm not sure you've really got enough room there right now and I think that needs to be looked into very hard. Because there's times coming up that hill where you just want to turn around and leave.

Commissioner Questions / Comments:

Ms. Leidal:

Is a sidewalk required along Ski Hill Road in the master plan? (Mr. Kulick: Yes, it is required so no points are awarded.) Would a turning radius template help demonstrate if turn from Ski Hill Road can work? (Mr. Kulick: Yes, we'll check with Engineering.) Was there traffic study done? (Mr. Kulick: Yes, an intense study was done early on during the development agreement phase.) (Ms. Puester: Graham, was the additional parking now being proposed since then figured into that original traffic study?) (Mr. Graham Frank: The study considered all density in the master plan, proposed additional density and build out along Ski Hill Road.)

Mr. Lamb:

I like this a lot better than what we saw a year ago. Project broken up well into modules and meets master plan. Height measurement methodology is fine. Architecture, concerned but like it, railings and guard rails, need more details. We'll take a good look at next meeting. Kind of like the transition they are doing. Look at more details next meeting. Supports +2 points for parking and +2 landscaping but is so close to One Ski Hill Place it's more important to buffer on other areas and support +2. It has come a long way. Question 6, yes.

Mr. Schroder:

Yes, the design breaks into modules. Intent of master plan met by smaller modules. View corridors maintained for most part. One arrow overlapped roof at OSHP. Height measurement seems appropriate. Architecture, sees Breck forms to some degree but I like the transition. Parking, more parking is better. Smart strategic move and support +2. Landscaping, had to be toned down based on not in ROW support +2 in right places. I agree with the prelim point analysis.

Ms. Leidal:

Thanks for changes to plans. I appreciate you working with the 4 O'Clock subdivision and abandoning access from Sawmill Road. See density (modules) as two not three. Do not agree that it meets the view corridors. Now we have two modules because it's all connected. Used to be layers to look through to 4 O'Clock. I agree with the height analysis. Architecture, will need further discussion on. Same palate of materials and transition from what is out there now. Don't think it meets intent of master plan language of transitional. Show us streetscape view from Ski Hill Road mentioned by the other Commissioners. Not sure building has sense of place here in Breck. I look forward to more information. Onsite parking, not supportive of points, concerned about providing more parking up at the base. Aspen is trying to get rid of parking because it's too much. In conflict with the master plan. 200 extra spaces seems excessive. Maybe 50 extra would be ok. Landscaping, understand the loading but should provide a variety of heights not all 8' tall have some 10' and 12' to show some depth and would then consider some positive points. Also not sure positive points under 16 R for easement—needs to be public.

Mr. Gerard:

This is a tremendous improvement. The project has one big module and one little module which affects view corridors and size. Concerned. Concern that roof height has a 1/8 inch difference from max height per the Development Agreement. Couldn't we get a foot difference at least? Agrees with how height is measured. Architecture, it's interesting but too much glazing and frontage of glass, too reflective up there. Lots of modern features right now but we'll see how this progresses. Parking, do we need excessive parking up there? Traffic concern. Maybe better downtown. What's point of 200 extra parking spaces you are not going to need? Not sure on positive points for that. Landscaping fine +2 good. 4 O'Clock easement, yes it needs to be a public easement, need more information. 5' not wide enough could be chokepoint. Moving in right direction.

Mr. Moore:

Modules meet intent of master plan. View corridors—hard to build this size. Height, inch and 1/8 interesting to live with but ok. Architecture, the way it looks now like it stands alone, highly out of place. They should transition better. Parking, no problem with +2

points. Exceeded the minimum. Landscaping with the footprints of project +2 is appropriate. Point analysis is close and support it.

Mr. Schuman:

Is broken up into 3 modules. Not really any view corridors, buildings are much higher than original 35' since the master plan so the view corridors are not there. Agrees with height measurement calculation. Staff should confirm that measurements are in comparison to One Ski Hill Place as mentioned by Mr. Himmelstien. Highest point of biggest building is only 4' higher sounds a bit silly. Architecture, beautiful on its own but wants to see streetscape and how it relates to the others up there. It is dissimilar enough to recommend negative points under 5R. Parking, could support +2 points. You're going to be reservoir for other owners and skiers needing parking. Landscaping, average job, maybe could warm up to +2 points down road as this plan develops further. Rest of points agree with. If trucks have to come up ramp with multiple deliveries at time that could be problem. Its already a problem at Buildings 1 and 2 up there. One connection to think about is to the gondola; that was what it was intended for.

Mr. Giller:

Thank you. You've worked hard on this since we saw it last. Peak 8 is special and has high quality work and want to finish it out the same. Thinks this is only 2 modules. Support height methodology. Architecture, it's contemporary and not the transition we talked about at December meeting and not like rest of Peak 8. Don't think contemporary design meets 5A or what Graham represented to us at the master plan modification in December when we allowed it to go from rustic to transitional (between rustic and contemporary). Architect could redesign to make it happen. Nothing says we can approve contemporary design and cannot approve. Parking would award +2 points. Landscaping would support +2. Agree with points analysis. Look forward with resubmittal and like you want to finish Peak 8 in high quality manner.

OTHER MATTERS:

- Town Council Summary (Memo Only)
 Code changes approved. Great job Mark and staff.
- 2. Date Change for First Meeting in February Mr. Schroder made a motion to move the first February meeting from February 5th to January 29th; seconded by Mr. Schuman. The motion passed 7-0.
- 3. April 16 meeting conflicts with spring break. Proposes moving to Wednesday, April 10. Mr. Schroder made a motion to move the April 16th meeting to April 10th; seconded by Ms. Leidal. The motion passed 7-0.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 pm.

Mike Giller, Chair	



Memo

To: Breckenridge Town Council Members

From: Jennifer McAtamney

Date: 1/15/2019

Subject: Child Care Advisory Committee Appointment Recommendations

The Child Care Advisory Committee had four member terms expiring on January 31, 2019. We advertised the vacancies in the Summit Daily, on the Town Website and through social media. We received three letters of interest, all from existing committee members who had terms expiring and are recommending them for re-appointment for three-year terms (February, 1 2019 – January 31, 2022). The applicants we are recommending for appointment are:

- Heather Garcia
- Johanna Gibbs
- Joyce Ruderman

We excited to have these committee members back to continue the momentum with our work around business logistics, administration of the tuition assistance program as well as childcare operations, outreach, quality care standards, and program policies.



Scheduled Meetings

Shading indicates Council required attendance – others are optional

The Council has been invited to the following meetings and events. A quorum may be in attendance at any or all of them.

January 2019

Jan. 18th, 2019	8:00am - 9:00am	BoLD Restaurant	Coffee Talk					
Tuesday, Jan. 22, 2019	3:00pm / 7:00 pm	Town Hall Chambers	Second Meeting of the Mont					
Jan. 21st - 30th, 2019	All Day	Riverwalk Area	ISSC					
Juli. 21st 30th, 2017	•		1550					
February 2019								
Tuesday, Feb. 12, 2019	3:00pm / 7:00 pm	3:00pm / 7:00 pm Town Hall Chambers						
Feb. 19th, 2019	Noon - 5:00pm	TBD	InterVISTA & Retreat					
Tuesday, Feb. 26, 2019	3:00pm / 7:00 pm	Town Hall Chambers	Second Meeting of the Mont					
	Other Meetings							
January 17th, 2019	CAST		7:45am					
January 21st, 2019	Open Space & Trails Meeting		5:30pm					
January 22nd, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting		9:00am / 1:30pm					
January 23rd, 2019	Summit Combined Housing Authority		9:00am					
January 24th, 2019	Transit Advisory Council Meeting Breckenridge Tourism Office Board Meeting Northwest CO Council of Governments RW&B Board Meeting		8:00am 8:30am 10:00am 3:00pm					
January 28th, 2019	Breckenridge Creative Arts		4:00pm					
January 29th, 2019	Planning Commission Meeting		5:30pm					
February 5th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting		9:00am					
February 6th, 2019	Breckenridge Events Committee Childcare Advisory Committee		9:00am 3:00pm					
February 12th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting Workforce Housing Committee		9:00am / 1:30pm 1:30pm					
February 13th, 2019	Breckenridge Heritage Alliance		Noon					
February 14th, 2019	Upper Blue Sanitation District		5:30pm					
February 19th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting Liquor & Marijuana Licensing Authority Planning Commission Meeting		9:00am 9:00am 5:30pm					
February 25th, 2019	Open Space & Trails Meeting		5:30pm					
February 26th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting		9:00am / 1:30pm					



Scheduled Meetings

Shading indicates Council required attendance – others are optional

The Council has been invited to the following meetings and events. A quorum may be in attendance at any or all of them.

February 27th, 2019	Summit Stage Transit Board Meeting Summit Combined Housing Authority	8:15am 9:00am
February 28th, 2019	Transit Advisory Council Meeting Breckenridge Tourism Office Board Meeting Northwest CO Council of Governments RW&B Board Meeting	8:00am 8:30am 10:00am 3:00pm
March 5th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting Planning Commission Meeting	9:00am 5:30pm
March 6th, 2019	I-70 Coalition	10:00am
March 7th, 2019	QQ - Quality and Quantity - Water District	9:00am
March 12th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting Workforce Housing Committee	9:00am / 1:30pm 1:30pm
March 14th, 2019	Upper Blue Sanitation District	5:30pm
March 19th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting Liquor & Marijuana Licensing Authority Planning Commission Meeting	9:00am 9:00am 5:30pm
March 26th, 2019	Board of County Commissioners Meeting	9:00am / 1:30pm
March 27th, 2019	Summit Stage Transit Board Meeting	8:15am